

# LEZIONI DI FILTRI ANALOGICI

#### Danilo Manstretta

AA 2012-13



### High Order OA-RC Filters

$$H(s) = \frac{a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0}{s^n + b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + b_2s^2 + b_1s + b_0}$$

The goal of this lecture is to learn how to design high order OA-RC filters using **real OTAs**.

# **Ideal Operational Amplifier**



equivalent circuit



In an ideal op-amp we assume:

- input resistance  $R_i$  approaches infinity, thus  $i_1 = 0$
- output resistance *R*<sub>o</sub> approaches zero
- amplifier gain A approaches infinity

# **Operational Transconductance Amplifier**

symbol

equivalent circuit



In a real Operational Transconductance Amplifier (OTA) we assume:

- input resistance  $R_i$  approaches infinity, thus  $i_1 = 0$
- Finite DC gain  $(A_0 = GmR_o)$
- Finite gain-bandwidth product (Gm/C<sub>0</sub>)
- Gm has a finite value

### **Cacaded Biquads Implementation**





# **SINGLE-OTA BIQUADS**

Sallen-Key, Rauch

### Low-Pass Rauch Filter





$$H(s) = \frac{-R_2/R_1}{1 + sC_2 \left[R_2 + R_3 + \frac{R_2R_3}{R_1}\right] + s^2C_1C_2R_2R_3}$$

### Rauch Low-Pass with Real OTA



• In order to simplify the equations, consider a specific implementation:

Ideal transfer function:

AA2012-13

$$H(s) = \frac{-1}{1 + s4RC + s^2 8R^2 C^2}$$

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}RC} \quad Q = 1/\sqrt{2} \qquad G = -1$$

• What is the effect of the real OTA on the transfer function?

### Rauch Low-Pass with Real OTA (ii)



The transfer function has one zero and three poles.

# Rauch Low-Pass with Real OTA (iii)

**Zero** is located in the right half-plane (RHP) zero close to  $g_m/C$ 

**Complex poles** frequency is shifted and Q is modified: limited gm and GBW lead to poles Q enhancement, finite gain leads to Q degradation

Additional (real) pole: around the OTA unity gain frequency (-  $g_m/C_0$ )

# Sallen-Key Biquad (ii)



# Sallen-Key Design Strategies

 Five design elements, two main properties (G is less important): several design degrees of freedom

$$\omega_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{1}R_{2}C_{1}C_{2}}}$$

$$Q = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{1}R_{2}C_{1}C_{2}}}}{\frac{1}{R_{1}C_{1}} + \frac{1}{R_{2}C_{1}} + \frac{1-\mu}{R_{2}C_{2}}}$$

 $G = \mu$ 

**Design 1.**  $R_1 = R_2 = R$ ,  $C_1 = C_2 = C$  $\omega_0 = 1/(RC)$ G=3-1/Q Note: Q is independent of R,C **Design 2.** G=2 ( $R_a = R_b$ ),  $C_1 = C_2 = C$  $\omega_0^2 = 1/(R_1 R_2 C^2)$  $R_1 = Q/\omega_0 C$ Note:  $R_1/R_2 = Q^2$ **Design 3.** G=1,  $R_1 = R_2 = R$  $\omega_0^2 = 1/(R^2C_1C_2)$  $C_1 = 2Q/\omega_0 C$ ;  $C_2 = 1/2Q\omega_0 C$ Note:  $C_1/C_2 = 4Q^2$ 

# Sallen-Key: finite op-amp gain

#### • The inverting and non-inverting terminals are not virtually shorted

 $E_{2}=A_{0}(E_{4}-V_{-})$ 

 $E_{1} \xrightarrow{R_{1}} E_{3} \xrightarrow{R_{2}} \xrightarrow{R_{1}} \xrightarrow{R_{2}} \xrightarrow{\mu} \xrightarrow{\mu} \xrightarrow{E_{2}} \xrightarrow{E_{4}} \xrightarrow{\mu} \xrightarrow{E_{2}} \xrightarrow{E_{4}} \xrightarrow{\mu} \xrightarrow{E_{2}} \xrightarrow{E_{4}} \xrightarrow{R_{5}} \xrightarrow{E_{2}} \xrightarrow{R_{5}} \xrightarrow{R_{$ 



 $E_2 = \mu E_4$ 

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_0}{1 + \frac{\mu_0}{A_0}}$$

# Sallen-Key with OTA

In order to simplify the equations, consider a specific implementation:



# Sallen-Key with real op-amp (OTA)



 $a_1 = \frac{2C}{g_m}$  $a_2 = \frac{RC^2}{g_m}$  $b_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{A_0}$ 

$$b_1 = RC(4b_0 - 2) + \frac{2C + C_0}{g_m}$$

$$b_2 = 2b_0 R^2 C^2 + 4C(C + 2C_0) \frac{R}{g_m}$$

 $b_3 = 2C^2 C_0 \frac{R^2}{g_m}$ 

 $H(s) = \frac{1 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2}{b_0 + b_1 s + b_2 s^2 + b_3 s^3}$ 

### Sallen-Key with real op-amp (OTA) (ii)

- The complex zeros have  $Q=(g_m R)^{\frac{1}{2}}/2 >>1$ 
  - zeros are close to being pure imaginary: notch in H(s) at the zero frequency!
  - The frequency of the zeros is  $\frac{\sqrt{g_m/2R}}{C}$
- Assuming the OTA DC gain g<sub>m</sub>R<sub>0</sub>>>1 and isolating the terms corresponding to the ideal transfer function from the parasitic pole, the denominator can be approximated as:

$$\left[1+s2C\left(R+\frac{1}{g_m}\right)+2s^2R^2C^2\left(1+\frac{2}{g_mR}\right)\right]\left(1+\frac{sC_0}{g_m}\right)$$

- The complex poles change in frequency and Q
- A parasitic real pole has appeared around gm/C<sub>0</sub>



# **TWO-OTA BIQUADS**

Fleisher-Tow, KHN, Tow-Thomas

### **Fleischer-Tow Biquad**



 $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_2 R_3 C_1 C_2}}$ 

 $G = \frac{-R_2}{R_6}$ 

 $Q = \frac{R_1}{\sqrt{R_2 R_3}} \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{C_2}}$ 

### Kerwin-Huelsman-Newcomb (KHN)



### **Two-Integrators Biquad Design**



### **Tow-Thomas**



$$\frac{E_2}{E_1} = \frac{1/(R_2R_4C^2)}{s^2 + \frac{s}{R_1C} + \frac{1}{R_2R_3C^2}}$$

$$\frac{E_2}{E_1} = \frac{G\omega_0^2}{s^2 + \frac{\omega_0}{Q}s + \omega_0^2} \qquad \qquad G = \frac{-R_3}{R_4} \qquad \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_2R_3}C} \qquad \qquad Q = \frac{R_1}{\sqrt{R_2R_3}}$$

# Filter Design Using Real OPAMP

What happens if we replace the ideal OPAMP with a real circuit?

Let's consider the impact of circuit limitations on the **active integrator**, the basic building block of high order filters designs.

# **OTA-RC** Integrator



Ideal integrator:

$$v_0 = \frac{-v_{IN}}{sRC}$$

- What happens if the ideal OA is replaced with a real OTA?
- Compute the transfer function as a function of the OTA parameters
- Derive **design guidelines** for the OTA

# OTA-RC Integrator Analysis

To keep equations simple lets consider different effects separately:

- Finite DC gain
- Finite gm
- Output capacitance

Study effect on poles Q:

define Integrator quality factor (Q<sub>INT</sub>)

### Finite DC Gain

Neglect output/load capacitance ( $C_0=0$ ),  $G_m$  is very large but the DC gain ( $G_mR_0$ ) is finite and equal to  $A_0$ .



$$-v_{O}\left(\frac{1}{R_{O}}+sC\right) = \left(G_{m}-sC\right)v_{+}$$

$$v_{+} \xrightarrow{G_{m}\to\infty} -v_{O}\left(\frac{1}{A_{O}}+\frac{sC}{G_{m}}\right) = \frac{-v_{O}}{A_{O}}$$

$$\frac{v_{IN}}{sRC} = -v_{O}+v_{+}\left(1+\frac{1}{sRC}\right)$$

$$\frac{v_{O}}{v_{IN}} = \frac{-A_{0}}{1+sRC\left(1+A_{0}\right)}$$

### Finite DC Gain (2)



The effect of a finite OTA DC gain is to move the pole from the origin to  $\omega = \omega_0 / (1 + A_0)$ .

# Finite DC Gain (3)

PHASE NEAR  $\omega_0$ 



Normalized Frequency ( $\omega/\omega_0$ )

The effect of a finite OTA DC gain is to move the pole from the origin to  $\omega = \omega_0 / (1 + A_0)$ . The phase shift at  $\omega_0$  is slightly larger than 90° (*phase lead*) Neglect output/load capacitance ( $C_0=0$ ) and conductance: DC gain is infinite but  $G_m$  has a finite value.



Prof. D. Manstretta



The effect of a finite  $G_m$  is to introduce a RHP zero at  $G_m/C$ and to move the unity gain frequency from 1/RC to  $1/(R+1/G_m)C$ .

AA2012-13



The zero must be at a much higher frequency than  $\omega_0$ . The phase shift at  $\omega_0$  is slightly smaller than 90° (*phase lag*)



### Finite Gain-Bandwidth Product (GBW)

Now consider a finite output/load capacitance  $C_0$  and a finite  $G_m$  (GBW=  $G_mC_0$ ). Neglect output conductance (infinite DC gain).



$$i_{IN} = \frac{v_{IN} - v_{+}}{R} = (v_{+} - v_{O})sC = G_{m}v_{+} + v_{O}sC_{O}$$

$$v_O s C_{TOT} = (G_m - sC) v_+ \qquad C_{TOT} = C + C_O$$
$$\frac{v_{IN}}{sRC} = -v_O + v_+ \left(1 + \frac{1}{sRC}\right)$$
$$\frac{v_{IN}}{sRC} = -v_O \left(1 + \frac{C_{TOT}}{G_m - sC} \frac{1 + sRC}{sRC}\right)$$

$$\frac{v_o}{v_{IN}} = \frac{-1}{sR\alpha C} \frac{1 - sC/G_m}{1 + sC_o/(\alpha G_m)}$$
$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{1 + C_o/C}{G_m R}$$





The effect of a finite GBW is to introduce an **additional pole at approximately -G\_m / C\_0**. The unity gain frequency is also slightly increased.

# Finite GBW (3)



The phase shift at  $\omega_0$  is slightly smaller than 90° (*phase lag*). The pole and the RHP zero both contribute a phase lag

# Integrator Quality Factor (Q<sub>INT</sub>)

The cumulative effect of integrator non-idealities on the poles can be summarized in a single number:  $Q_{INT}$   $Q_{INT}$  is a measure of the integrator phase deviations from 90° at the unity gain frequency.

DEFINITION

$$\Phi = \measuredangle H(j\omega_0)$$
$$Q_{INT} \triangleq \tan(-\Phi) = \frac{1}{\tan(90^\circ - \Phi)}$$

H. Khorramabadi and P. R. Gray, "High-frequency CMOS continuous time filters," JSSC Dec 1984.

# Integrator Quality Factor: QINT

### Ideal integrator Real integrator $H(j\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega/\omega_{0}} \quad H(j\omega) = \frac{1}{R(\omega) + jX(\omega)} \quad H(j\omega) = |H(j\omega)|e^{j\Phi(\omega)}$ $\Phi(\omega) = -\arctan\left(\frac{X(\omega)}{R(\omega)}\right)$ $\Phi(\omega) = -\pi/2$ $Q_{INT} \triangleq \frac{X(\omega_0)}{R(\omega_0)} = \tan(-\Phi) = \frac{1}{\tan(90^\circ + \Phi)}$ $Q_{INT} = \infty$

This alternative definition may be easier to remember.



Finite DC Gain

$$\frac{v_O}{v_{IN}} = \frac{-A_0}{1 + sRC(1 + A_0)} \qquad \qquad Q_{INT} \triangleq \frac{X(\omega_0)}{R(\omega_0)} = 1 + A_0$$

• Finite Gm (RHP zero  $\omega_z = G_m/C$ )

$$\frac{v_O}{v_{IN}} = \frac{-\left(1 - s/\omega_z\right)}{sC\left(R + 1/G_m\right)} \quad \frac{X\left(\omega_0\right)}{R\left(\omega_0\right)} = \frac{-1/\omega_0}{1/\omega_z}$$

$$Q_{INT} = \frac{-\omega_z}{\omega_0} < 0$$

• Finite GBW (additional pole)

$$\frac{v_o}{v_{IN}} = \frac{-\omega_0}{s} \frac{1}{1+s/\omega_p} \quad \frac{X(\omega_0)}{R(\omega_0)} = \frac{1}{-\omega_0/\omega_p} \qquad Q_{INT} = \frac{-\omega_p}{\omega_0} < 0$$
## Summary (2)

$$Q_{INT} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1+A_0} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_z} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_p}}$$

- Finite DC Gain gives a positive Q. Right half-plane zero (such as introduced by the finite Gm) and additional poles (such as introduced by load capacitance) introduce a negative Q.
- The two effects partially cancel each other but ensuring complete cancellation across process variations is not trivial.
- Design guidelines: large DC gain, ensure that zeros and poles are well above the poles frequency

## Biquad with Finite Integrator Q

If a biquad is realized using integrators having finite Q<sub>INT</sub>, the poles Q<sub>P</sub> will be modified as follows:



 $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{INT}}$  must be much higher than the poles  $\mathbf{Q}$  to preserve the filter shape

## Biquad with Finite Integrator Q (2)

AA2012-13

 If a biquad is realized using integrators having finite Q<sub>INT</sub>, the biquad transfer function will be modified as follows:

Prof. D. Manstretta

$$H'_{LP}(\omega_0) = \frac{H_{LP}(\omega_0)}{1 + \frac{2Q_P}{Q_{INT}}}$$

• If the error on the gain of the biquad at the pole frequency is to be lower than  $\alpha_{\text{ERR}}$ , a specification on the minimum  $Q_{\text{INT}}$  is derived:

$$Q_{_{INT}}=rac{2Q_{_{P}}}{10^{\pm lpha_{_{ERR,dB}}/20}-1}$$

 Notice that the above considerations apply to any pair of poles of the filter transfer function, *independent of the filter implementation* (i.e. even if the filter is implemented as a ladder)

W.J.A. De Heij, E. Seevinck, and K. Hoen, "Practical Formulation of the Relation Between Filter Specifications and the Requirements for Integrator Circuits," TCAS Aug 1989.

## **Two-Integrators Biquad Design**



## **Integrator Non-Idealitities**

The effect of integrator non-idealities will be evaluated using the modified integrator transfer function  $H_{INT}(s)$ 



#### Finite DC Gain

$$H_{INT} = \frac{-1}{1/(1+A_0) + s/\omega_0}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\omega_0}{Q_P} \qquad b_0 = \omega_0^2$$

$$H(s) = \frac{a_0 H_{INT}^2(s)}{1 - b_1 H_{INT}(s) + b_0 H_{INT}^2(s)} \qquad H(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + s \left(\frac{\omega_0}{Q_P} + \frac{2\omega_0}{1 + A_0}\right) + \omega_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{Q_P(1 + A_0)} + \frac{1}{(1 + A_0)^2}\right)}$$

$$\omega'_{0} = \omega_{0} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{Q_{P}(1 + A_{0})} + \frac{1}{(1 + A_{0})^{2}}} \cong \frac{\omega_{0}}{1 - \frac{1}{2Q_{P}(1 + A_{0})}} \quad \text{Assuming } A_{0} >> 1$$

$$Q'_{P} \cong \frac{Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{2Q_{P}}{1 + A_{0}}} = \frac{Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{2Q_{P}}{Q_{INT}}}$$
  $Q_{INT} = 1 + A_{0}$ 

X

$$H_{INT}(s) = \frac{-1}{s} (1 - s/\omega_z)$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\omega_0}{Q_P} \qquad b_0 = \omega_0^2$$

$$H(s) = \frac{a_0 H_{INT}^2(s)}{1 - b_1 H_{INT}(s) + b_0 H_{INT}^2(s)}$$

$$H(s) = \frac{\omega_0^2 \left(1 - s / \omega_z\right)^2}{s^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0}{Q_P \omega_z} + \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_z^2}\right) + s \left(\frac{\omega_0}{Q_P} - 2\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_z}\right) + \omega_0^2}$$

$$\omega'_{0} = \frac{\omega_{0}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q_{P}\omega_{z}} + \frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{\omega_{z}^{2}}}} \cong \frac{\omega_{0}}{1 + \frac{\omega_{0}}{2Q_{P}\omega_{z}}} \qquad \text{Assuming} \quad \omega_{z} >> \omega_{0}$$

R

$$H_{INT}(s) = \frac{-1}{s(1+s/\omega_p)}$$

$$H(s) = \frac{a_0 H_{INT}^2(s)}{1 - b_1 H_{INT}(s) + b_0 H_{INT}^2(s)}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\omega_0}{Q_P} \qquad b_0 = \omega_0^2$$

$$H(s) \cong \frac{1}{\left(1 + s / \omega_p\right)^2} \frac{\omega_0^2}{s^2 + s \frac{\omega_0}{Q'_P} + \omega_0^2}$$

$$Q'_{P} \cong \frac{Q_{P}}{1 - \frac{2Q_{P}\omega_{0}}{\omega_{p}}} = \frac{Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{2Q_{P}}{Q_{INT}}}$$

$$Q_{INT} = -\frac{\omega_p}{\omega_0}$$

Assuming  $\omega_p >> \omega_0$ 



The effect of integrator non-idealities on the poles Q is:  $Q'_{P} = \frac{Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{2Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{2Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{Q_{P}}{1 + \frac{Q_{P}}{1$ 

• Finite DC Gain  $H_{INT} = \frac{-1}{1/(1+A_0) + s/\omega_0}$   $Q_{INT} = 1 + A_0$ 

• Finite Gm (RHP zero) 
$$H_{INT}(s) = \frac{-1}{s}(1-s/\omega_z)$$
  $Q_{INT} = -\frac{\omega_z}{\omega_0}$ 

• Finite GBW (additional pole)  $H_{INT}(s) = \frac{-1}{s(1+s/\omega_p)}$   $Q_{INT} = -\frac{\omega_p}{\omega_0}$ 

 $Q_{INT}$ 



# HIGHER ORDER OPAMP-RC FILTERS

**Canonic Synthesis** 



## Multiple-Loop Feedback Architectures

- As the filter order increases, the Q of the poles increases and biquad based implementations become too sensitive to components variations and mismatches.
- Multiple-loop feedback architectures are to be preferred due to lower sensitivity
- The state-variable synthesis method allows the synthesis of an nth order filter (low-pass, band-pass or high-pass) in the form

$$\frac{E_n}{E_1} = \frac{a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0}{s^n + b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + b_2s^2 + b_1s + b_0}$$

AA2012-13

#### State-Variable Method: All-pole filters









## **Controller Canonic Form Realization**

#### **Follow-the-leader feedback architecture**



K. Laker, M. Ghausi, "Synthesis of a low-sensitivity multiloop feedback active RC filter," IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, Mar 1974

## **Inverting Integrators Realization**



$$\frac{E_n}{E_1} = \frac{a_0}{s^n + b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + b_2s^2 + b_1s + b_0}$$

#### Inverse Follow-the-Leader Feedback



#### **Circuit Realization Example**





## Circuit Realization Example (ii)



## **General Realization**



- Realization of a generic transfer function with number of zeros strictly lower than the number of poles
  - The realization with equal number of poles and zeros is only slightly more complicated

## Filter Design Steps



## Example 3

- Design a 5th order all-pole low-pass filter using follow-theleader feedback architecture
- DC gain = 0dB
- Chebyshev, 5th order,  $\epsilon$ =0.509,  $\omega_0$ =1MHz

$$\frac{V_{OUT}}{V_{IN}} = \frac{a_0}{s^5 + b_4 s^4 + b_3 s^3 + b_2 s^2 + b_1 s + b_0}$$



#### **Design Example of a Chebyshev Filter**

• 5<sup>th</sup> order, ε=0.5088

$$v = \frac{1}{n} \sinh^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] \qquad u = \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n} \qquad s_k = \sigma_k + j\omega_k = \sin \left[ \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n} \right] \sinh v + j \cos \left[ \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n} \right] \cosh v$$

- $v = (1/5) \sinh^{-1}(1/0.5088) = 0.2856$
- Sinh v = 0.2895 ; Cosh v = 1.041
- Normalized poles:
- k=1  $\sigma_1 = \sin(\pi/10) \ge 0.2895 = 0.0895$ ;  $\omega_1 = \cos(\pi/10) \ge 1.041 = 0.99$
- $\omega_{P1} = (\sigma_1^2 + \omega_1^2)^{1/2} = 0.994;$   $Q_1 = \omega_{P1} / (2 \sigma_1) = 5.556$
- k=2  $\sigma_2 = \sin(3\pi/10) \times 0.2895 = 0.234$ ;  $\omega_1 = \cos(3\pi/10) \times 1.041 = 0.612$
- $\omega_{P2} = (\sigma_2^2 + \omega_2^2)^{1/2} = 0.655;$   $Q_2 = \omega_{P2} / (2 \sigma_2) = 1.4$
- k=3  $\sigma_1 = \sin(5\pi/10) \ge 0.2895 = 0.2895$ ;  $\omega_1 = \cos(5\pi/10) \ge 1.041 = 0$
- ω<sub>P3</sub> = 0.2894

$$D(s) = \left(s^{2} + \frac{s\omega_{P1}}{Q_{P1}} + \omega_{P1}^{2}\right) \left(s^{2} + \frac{s\omega_{P2}}{Q_{P2}} + \omega_{P2}^{2}\right) \left(s + \omega_{P3}\right)$$



# Design Example of a Chebyshev Filter $D(s) = \left(s^{2} + \frac{s\omega_{P1}}{Q_{P1}} + \omega_{P1}^{2}\right) \left(s^{2} + \frac{s\omega_{P2}}{Q_{P2}} + \omega_{P2}^{2}\right) (s + \omega_{P3})$

Calculate the normalized polynomial coefficients:

$$D(s) = s^{5} + b_{4}s^{4} + b_{3}s^{3} + b_{2}s^{2} + b_{1}s + b_{0}$$

$$b_{4} = \omega_{P3} + \frac{\omega_{P1}}{Q_{P1}} + \frac{\omega_{P2}}{Q_{P2}} \qquad \qquad b_{1} = \omega_{P3} \left( \frac{\omega_{P1} \omega_{P2}^{2}}{Q_{P1}} + \frac{\omega_{P2} \omega_{P1}^{2}}{Q_{P2}} \right) + \omega_{P1}^{2} \omega_{P2}^{2}$$

$$b_{3} = \omega_{P1}^{2} + \omega_{P2}^{2} + \frac{\omega_{P1}\omega_{P2}}{Q_{P1}Q_{P2}} + \frac{\omega_{P3}\omega_{P1}}{Q_{P1}} + \frac{\omega_{P3}\omega_{P2}}{Q_{P2}} \qquad b_{0} = \omega_{P3}\omega_{P1}^{2}\omega_{P2}^{2}$$

$$b_{2} = \omega_{P3} \left( \omega_{P1}^{2} + \omega_{P2}^{2} + \frac{\omega_{P1}\omega_{P2}}{Q_{P1}Q_{P2}} \right) + \frac{\omega_{P2}\omega_{P1}^{2}}{Q_{P2}} + \frac{\omega_{P1}\omega_{P2}^{2}}{Q_{P1}}$$





To de-normalize the coefficients:

 $b'_{k} = b_{k} \omega_{0}^{5-k}$ 

If we substitute the integrators with 1/(sRC), the feedback coefficients are changed as follows:  $b''_{k}=b_{k}(RC\omega_{0})^{5-k}$ 

## **Circuit Implementation**



 $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{RC} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad b''_k = b_k \qquad \qquad R_{bk} = \frac{R}{b_k}$ 



# **BACKUP SLIDES**

#### MATERIALE INTEGRATIVO

## **Pole Quality Factor**



The solutions of D(s)=0 (i.e. the poles of the network function) are complex numbers, typically represented in the S plane.

$$s_p = \sigma_p + j\omega_p$$

Solutions  $s_k$  can be real or complex. Complex solutions always appear in conjugate pairs.

A very important design parameter is the pole quality factor Q.

The pole quality factor is given by the ratio between the pole frequency (distance from the origin in the s-plane) and the real part (distance from the imaginary axis in the splane)

## **Biquad Magnitude Response**



Notice how the network function has been written, with explicit reference to  $\omega_0$  and Q. This is usually done in biquad-based filter design since it greatly simplifies the design procedure.

## **Biquad Phase Response**



Notice how, in low Q poles, the phase starts to move about a decade before the pole (i.e. much earlier than the magnitude response.

As we shall see, low Q poles are desirable to lower power consumption and to minimize the sensitivity of the filter reponse to parameter variations.



Appendix material (use only if needed)

- Poles and poles Q
- Multi-loop feedback architectures (summary)
- Ladder filter synthesis (summary)
- Frequency normalization and de-normalization

## Example 1

Design of a low-pass biquad:

- DC gain=1
- ω<sub>0</sub>=1MHz
- Q<sub>P</sub>=0.7

$$\frac{E_2}{E_1} = \frac{a_0}{s^2 + b_1 s + b_0} \qquad a_0 = b_0 = \omega_0^2 \qquad b_1 = \frac{\omega_0}{Q_P}$$

## **Flowgraph Implementation**



Modify flowgraph using flowgraph rules to simplify circuit implementation: divide and multiply by RC.



## Flowgraph (2)

To get a 1:1 equivalence with the circuit, let:  $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{RC}$ 



### **Generic Biquad Implementation**



Design of a low-pass biquad with transmission zero

- DC gain=1
- ω<sub>0</sub>=1MHz
- Q=0.7
- ω<sub>z</sub>=2MHz

$$\frac{E_2}{E_1} = \frac{a_2 s^2 + a_0}{s^2 + b_1 s + b_0} \qquad a_0 = b_0 = \omega_0^2 \qquad b_1 = \frac{\omega_0}{Q_P} \qquad a_2 = \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_z^2}$$







Start with the poles.

Modify flowgraph using flowgraph rules to simplify circuit implementation: divide and multiply by RC


### If we let $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{RC}$ the flowgraph is simply:



### Example 2

Adder circuit implementation:





With this implementation we cannot set the gain independent of the poles Q!

 $\frac{E_4}{E_1} = 4 - \frac{2}{Q_P}$ 

### **Zeros Implementation**

The zeros are now implemented with another adder:



# **Circuit Implementation**



# **Final Circuit Implementation**

